2	Lauren M. Rule (ISB # 6863), pro hac vice ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST P.O. Box 1612 Boise, ID 83701		
3 4	(208) 342-7024 (208) 342-8286 (fax) lrule@advocateswest.org		
5	Erik B. Ryberg (AZB # 023809) 312 South Convent Ave.		
6	Tucson, AZ 85701		
7	(520) 622-3333 ryberg@seanet.com		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	Dennis K. Burke United States Attorney District of Arizona		
11	Ignacia S. Moreno		
12	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division		
13	Tyler Welti Trial Attorney, CA Bar #257993		
14	Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section		
15	P.O. Box 663		
16	Washington D.C. 20044-0663 202-305-0481 (tel) 202-305-0506 (fax) Tyler.Welti@usdoj.gov		
17	Attorneys for Defendant		
18			
19			
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
21	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
22			
23	WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT,	) Case No.: 08-cv-1472-MHM	
24	Plaintiff,	) ) COUDIN A THE CHOOL HAVENIT	
25	vs.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	
26	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT,		
27	Defendant.	<i>)</i> )	
28			

The Parties to this action, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") in order to resolve this action informally and avoid the need for further litigation before the Court.

- 1. Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief and the portion of their First Claim for Relief related to the compatibility determination have already been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order of June 12, 2009 (Dckt. No. 65). *See* Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint ¶¶ 72 & 82 (First and Second Claims for Relief) (Dckt. No. 70); Stipulation on Second Amended Complaint (Dckt. No. 77) (recognizing that Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief and the portion of the First Claim for Relief seeking to compel completion of a grazing compatibility determination were dismissed by the Court).
- 2. In order to resolve and settle the portion of Plaintiff's First Claim For Relief related to the Sonoran Desert National Monument Resource Management Plan ("SDNM RMP"), the parties agree that:
- A. The Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") will complete the SDNM RMP and issue a Record of Decision by December 15, 2011.
- B. BLM will include within the SDNM RMP a determination of whether livestock grazing on the five allotments north of Highway 8 is compatible with protecting the objects identified in Presidential Proclamation 7397, 66 Fed. Reg. at 7354 (Jan. 22, 2001).
- C. Until the SDNM management plan is completed and a Record of Decision issued, the parties agree to the following:
  - BLM will continue to implement the Off-Road Vehicle use restrictions that are currently in place on the SDNM, as described in 73 Fed. Reg. 27844-45 (May 14, 2008).
  - ii. BLM will subject any decision to construct or authorize any new, permanent range improvements for cattle grazing on the SDNM to National Environmental Policy Act review, and BLM will provide Plaintiff with all required public notices.
    - iii. BLM will continue to consider applications for ephemeral grazing

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

on the SDNM in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and other guidance, including but not limited to the Lower Gila South RMP/EIS (1988) and 2005 amendment, the Maricopa Wilderness Management Plan (1995), the 1988 Desert Tortoise Range Wide Plan, Instruction Memorandum AZ-94-018, and the Arizona Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Grazing Administration.

iv. In accordance with these authorities, should BLM authorize ephemeral grazing, BLM will conduct ephemeral grazing monitoring trips prior to the initial authorization and on 30-day intervals starting 60 days after the initial authorization, for as long as ephemeral grazing is authorized. In accordance with these authorities, in Categories I and II desert tortoise habitat, BLM will conduct monitoring trips at 30-day intervals beginning 60 days after the initial authorization or March 31, whichever is sooner, for as long as ephemeral grazing is authorized. BLM will provide Plaintiff with a monitoring report and data within two weeks of the date of each ephemeral grazing monitoring trip. If BLM determines upon such monitoring that it will not authorize further ephemeral grazing that season, BLM will notify the permittee to promptly remove livestock that were grazing under the prior ephemeral authorization within 15 days. Any member of the public, including Plaintiff, may request that BLM, at BLM's discretion, allow that member of the public to accompany BLM on ephemeral grazing monitoring trips on the SDNM. BLM will provide Plaintiff 72 hours notice, by email at the email address greta@westernwatersheds.org, of monitoring trips on the SDNM to allow Plaintiff to make such requests. If BLM should allow Plaintiff to accompany BLM on ephemeral grazing monitoring trips, Plaintiff will observe the monitoring and will not in any way interfere with or attempt to influence the monitoring.

v. Should BLM receive an application for ephemeral grazing, before processing the first such application received during each calendar year, BLM

will provide Plaintiff with an opportunity to accompany BLM on a field trip, on which BLM will demonstrate ephemeral grazing application processing and monitoring methods. To this end, BLM will provide Plaintiff with 72 hours notice, by email at the email address listed above, of the field trip opportunity, including the field trip's location and time of departure.

- vi. Plaintiff agrees not to initiate any further litigation specifically challenging BLM's management of the SDNM, so as to facilitate BLM's completion of the SDNM RMP. This provision does not preclude Plaintiff from initiating litigation challenging BLM actions related to land outside of the Monument, even if such litigation indirectly impacts land within the monument. Notwithstanding this provision, should BLM hereafter renew any grazing permits for allotments within the SDNM prior to completion of the SDNM RMP or authorize any new, permanent range improvements, Plaintiff may challenge such permit renewals or range improvement authorizations in a new lawsuit.
- 4. BLM agrees to pay Plaintiff \$28,284.00 in full and complete satisfaction of any and all claims, demands, rights, and causes of action pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), and/or any other statute and/or common law theory, for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff, individually and/or severally, in this litigation. Plaintiff agrees that receipt of such payment shall constitute a release of any and all claims Plaintiff may have to attorney fees and costs under any and all laws, regulations, and other authorities.
- 5. BLM's payment, as identified in Paragraph 4 above, shall be accomplished by electronic fund transfer into Plaintiff's attorney's trust account within 60 days after the Court's dismissal of this Action as provided in paragraph 6 and receipt of all information necessary to process the payment, whichever is sooner.
- 6. Plaintiff hereby relinquishes its claim against BLM regarding the portion of the First Claim for Relief related to the SDNM RMP, and agrees that the Court may enter the accompanying Proposed Order of Dismissal dismissing this case in its entirety, with prejudice.
  - 7. The Parties request, and will specify in the Proposed Order of Dismissal to be

submitted to the Court, that the Court retain jurisdiction over this matter until BLM has issued a Record of Decision for the SDNM Management Plan, for the limited purpose of enforcing the terms of the Settlement Agreement. At least 30 days prior to bringing any action to enforce this Settlement Agreement and Order of Dismissal, the party contemplating the action must bring its dispute to the attention of the other party, in writing, and both parties must make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally within 30 days thereafter. The parties understand that the District Court's review of any action related to this Settlement Agreement would be governed by the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq.. The parties agree not to seek to invoke the contempt powers of the Court in aid of enforcement of this Settlement Agreement.

- 8. No provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted as a commitment or requirement that the Federal Defendants take actions in contravention of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, or any other law or regulation, substantive or procedural. This Settlement Agreement shall be subject to any statutory changes, and nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that Defendant obligate or pay funds, or take any other action in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §1341, or any other applicable law regarding the expenditure of public funds.
- 9. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement, and no actions taken by any Party hereto with regard to this Settlement Agreement, shall be construed as an admission by any Party of liability as to any of the matters settled. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be utilized for the purpose of precedent or argument in any other case. No action taken by any Party in effectuating this Settlement Agreement may be used as an admission of liability in any respect in any future or pending demand, administrative proceeding, litigation, or similar action involving any of the Parties. This Settlement Agreement shall not bind any Party as to any claim or issue except those specifically addressed herein.
- 10. The undersigned representatives of the Parties certify that they are fullyauthorized by the respective Parties whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this stipulation and to legally bind such Parties to it.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this 10th day of February 2010, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which sent notification of such filing to the following counsel of record in this matter: Tyler G. Welti Tyler.Welti@usdoj.gov Erik B. Ryberg ryberg@seanet.com James S. Angell jangell@earthjustice.org Alexander Hays alexander\_hays@nthp.org s/Lauren M. Rule Lauren M. Rule (ISB # 6863)